Application No.:

10/537,979

Amendment Dated:

December 1, 2006

Reply to Office Action of: September 1, 2006

Remarks/Arguments

Applicants' disclosure is directed to a projection display apparatus. Th

MAT-8701US

apparatus uses an optical modulator to form an image. The apparatus includes a light

source that illuminates the optical modulator, a first lens array, a second lens array

and an optical modulator. Each lens array includes multiple lenses. A diaphragm

mechanism is located between the light source and the optical modulator. The

diaphragm mechanism controls the amount of the light emitted from the light source

that will ultimately illuminate the optical modulator.

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

Dubin et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,769,777 B1). It is respectfully submitted,

however, that the claims are patentable over the art of record for the reasons set forth

below.

Dubin is directed to a multi-aperture optical dimming system. The system

includes multi-aperture dimmer 150 located between first lens array 106 and second

lens array 108. The dimmer is made up of two movable attenuators. As shown in

Figs. 7-9, the attenuators have openings corresponding to respective lenses of the lens

array. The openings are either a v-shape, a compound v-shape or a curved shape.

See Figs. 7-9. The attenuators overlap and slide over one another to either expand or

narrow attenuator openings, thus adjusting the amount of light let through the

openings. Applicants' invention, as recited by claim 1, includes a feature which is

neither disclosed nor suggested by the art of record, namely:

... a diaphragm mechanism ...

... the diaphragm mechanism has a diaphragm blade or a pair of

diaphragm blades ...

... the diaphragm blade or the each of the pair of diaphragm blades <u>has</u>

a stepwise shape. (emphasis added)

Page 5 of 7

Amendment Dated: December 1, 2006
Reply to Office Action of: September 1, 2006

In the exemplary embodiment described in Applicants' disclosure, this means that the diaphragm is made up of at least one blade. The blade is cut at one end to form a step shape. Each step corresponds to the width of a particular lens of the lens array. This feature is found in the originally filed application at page 8, lines 21-24, page 9, lines 5-26 and Figs. 5-8. No new matter has been added.

MAT-8701US

Fig. 5 of Dubin shows a set of movable attenuators 502 and 504. As shown, each movable attenuator has three openings. The openings are rectangular in shape, with V-shapes cut out in areas corresponding to particular lenses of the lens array. On the other hand, as shown in Applicants' Figs. 5-8, Applicants' diaphragm has at least one blade that is cut at the end to form a step shape. As shown, each step (shaded portions) corresponds to a width of a particular lens of the lens array. For example, as shown in Fig. 6, a step of the blade covers 1/3 the area of lenses 201 and 206, 2/3 of the area of lenses 202 and 205 and the entire area of lenses 203 and 204.

This is different because Applicants' diaphragm blade and Dubin's attenuators are cut to form different shapes with respect to lenses of the lens arrays. Further, Applicants' diaphragm blade is cut at the end; whereas Dubin's attenuators are cut in the middle. In this way, Applicant's blades cover different areas of each lens of the lens array; whereas Dubin's blades cover the same area of each lens of the lens array.

It is <u>because</u> Applicants' include the feature of the diaphragm blade or the each of the pair of diaphragm blades <u>has a stepwise shape</u>, that the following advantages are achieved. First, the step shaped blade allows the border between a light-shielded dark area and non-light-shielded bright area to differ between lenses. As a result, a border between brightness and darkness of each lens is not conspicuous when viewing a projected image. Second, it allows for uniform illumination of the liquid crystal display panel while restricting or expanding the amount of light let through.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, claim 1 is patentable over the art of record.

Application No.:

10/537,979

Amendment Dated:

reasons set forth above.

December 1, 2006 Reply to Office Action of: September 1, 2006

Claims 2-5 and 7-10 include all the features of claim 1 from which they depend. Thus, claims 2-5 and 7-10 are also patentable over the art of record for the

In view of the amendments and arguments set forth above, the aboveidentified application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

ectfully submitted

Attorney for Applicants

MAT-8701US

LEA/DCK/fp/dmw/ds

Dated: December 1, 2006

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 (610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. 18-0350 of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 1, 2006.

Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,515

Deborah Spratt

61448